

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 629 (2001) 19-27



www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Versatile behaviour of iron-arylcarbene complexes towards alkoxides: C-Cl and C-C bond activation reactions

Karine Ferré^a, Géraldine Poignant^a, Loic Toupet^b, Véronique Guerchais^{a,*}

^a Institut de Chimie de Rennes, UMR 6509 CNRS, Université de Rennes 1,

'Organométalliques et Catalyse: Chimie et Electrochimie Moléculaires', 1 Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

^b UMR 6626 CNRS, Groupe Matière Condensée et Matériaux, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Received 5 January 2001; accepted 14 March 2001

Abstract

 $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O) - C(OMe)C_6H_4 - o - OMe\}][OTf]$ The Cp-containing carbene complexes (2) and $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)(CH_3CN){C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl}[OTf]$ (3) have been synthesised and characterised. Complex 2 has been characterised by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis; the Fe–O distance of 2.045(5) Å suggests a rather strong bonding of the methoxy group. Substitution of one carbonyl ligand by PPh₃ allows the isolation of the chelate complex $[Fe(C_5H_5)(PPh_3)\{\kappa^2(C,Cl)-C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}][OTf]$ (4). The reaction of $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)\{\kappa^2(C,Cl)-C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}]$ [OTf] (5) with alkoxides RONa (R = Me, Et) affords the chelate complexes $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)]\kappa^2(C,O)-C_6H_4-o-C(OMe)(OR)-C_6H_4-O-C(OMe)(OR)-C(OR)-C$ $(\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e})$ and $\mathbf{8}$ (R = Et), respectively. Similarly, the reaction of **3** with EtONa affords (OR)}] 7 $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)]{\kappa^2(C,O)-C_6H_4-o-CO(Et)(OEt)_2}$ (9), for which the Ar–Cl bond has been cleaved. No activation of the Ar–Cl bond is observed for the unchelated complex $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2\{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}][OTf]$. In contrast, treatment of the anisyl derivative $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O) - C(OMe)C_6H_4 - o - OMe\}][OTf]$ (6) with EtONa gives selectively the carbene complex $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)(C_6H_4-o-OMe){=C(OEt)_2}]$ (12). The Ar–OMe bond remains intact, but cleavage of the Ca–CAr bond occurs. This rearrangement process, i.e. α -elimination of the anisyl substituent, is favoured by the lability of the *ortho*-OMe group. Treatment of **2** with NaOEt gives as the only identified compound the ethyl ester [MeOC₆H₄-o-(CO)OEt]. Clean formation of the methyl ester derivative [MeOC₆H₄-o-(CO)OMe] is observed upon oxidation of **2** with C₆H₄I+O⁻. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

[OTf]

have appeared [6].

Keywords: Iron; C-Cl activation; C-C activation; Cleavage reactions; Carbene complexes

1. Introduction

The interest in Fischer-type carbene complexes has intensified as such derivatives play an important role in synthesis [1]. The reactivity of alkoxycarbene complexes towards nucleophiles is dominated by α -addition at the carbene carbon atom [2,3]. However, such reactions frequently fail for iron complexes, principally due to the occurrence of secondary O-dealkylation reactions [4]. The latter process is inhibited in the case of chelated derivatives [5], and this allows us to study the reactivity of the complexes containing labile or hemilabile ligands, i.e. [Fe(C₅Me₅)(CO){ $\kappa^2(C,X)$ -C(OMe)C₆H₄-o-X}]- and related complexes their Cp $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O) - C(OMe)C_6H_4 - o - OMe\}][OTf]$ $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)(CH_3CN){C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-$ (2) and Cl}][OTf] (3), towards alkoxides. We hypothesised that the combination of the Lewis acid character of the organometallic fragment of these highly electrophilic species and the presence of a potential vacant coordination site might promote new processes within the coordination sphere of the metal. In this paper, we report the bond activation reactions mediated by these carbene complexes leading to C-Cl and C-C bond cleavage, selectively. Preliminary results from this work

(5, X = Cl; 6, X = OMe)

(OTf=CF₃SO₃)

^{*} Corresponding author.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis of the Cp-containing carbone complexes

The preparation of the C₅Me₅-containing complexes **5** and **6** has been previously described [5]. The C₅H₅ complexes [Fe(C₅H₅)(CO)₂{C(OMe)-C₆H₄-*o*-X}][OTf] (**1**, **a** X = Cl; **b** X = OMe) are synthesised by classical procedures from the dimer [Fe(C₅H₅)(CO)₂]₂ [7]. The chelate complex [Fe(C₅H₅)(CO){ $\kappa^2(C,O)$ -C(OMe)C₆H₄-*o*-OMe}][OTf]



C15

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) ^a for the complexes $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O)-C(OMe)C_6H_4 \cdot o \cdot OMe\}][OTf]$ (2)

Bond lengths			
Fe-C7	1.859(6)	C14–O3	1.367(7)
Fe-O3	2.045(5)	С7-С9	1.484(8)
C7–O2	1.299(7)	C9–C14	1.380(8)
Fe-C6	1.769(7)	C6-01	1.145(8)
O3-C15	1.459(8)	O2–C8	1.457(8)
Bond angles			
Fe-C6-O1	172.9(6)	C14-O3-Fe	114.2(4)
O3–Fe–C7	81.8(2)	C14-C9-C7	115.4(5)
C6-Fe-C7	91(7)	C9-C14-O3	113.3(5)
C6–Fe–O3	99.5(3)	C7–O2–C8	120.0(5)
C9–C7–Fe	114.6(4)	O3-C14-C13	124.8(5)

^a There are three independent molecules per unit cell; the selected data refer to one of them.

(2) is obtained upon photolysis (visible light) of 1b in CH_2Cl_2 (Scheme 1). In contrast to the C_5Me_5 analogue, coordination of the chlorine atom does not take place; photolysis of 1a under the same conditions leads to decomposition products. The chloroaryl fragment is a weak donor and the cationic $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)]^+$ unit is not able to coordinate such a labile ligand. When irradiation is performed in a coordinating solvent such as CH₃CN, the stable acetonitrile complex $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)(CH_3CN)\{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}][OTf] (3)$ is then isolated (Scheme 1).

Substitution of one carbonyl ligand by PPh₃ chelate allows the isolation of the complex $[\dot{\mathrm{Fe}}(\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})(\mathrm{PPh}_{3})\{\kappa^{2}(C,Cl)-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}-o-\dot{\mathrm{Cl}}\}][\mathrm{OTf}]$ (4). This latter compound is obtained in one step upon UV irradiation of 1a in the presence of one equivalent of PPh₃ in CH₃CN. The coordination of the Cl atom is indicated by the low-field chemical shift of the Ar_{Cl} carbon atom in the ¹³C{¹H}-NMR spectrum (δ 143.3), whereas that of the non-chelated derivative 3 appears at δ 122.9. The downfield shift of the Ar_{Cl} carbon resonance upon complexation of the Cl atom to the iron centre reflects a decrease in electronic density. This feature has been already observed for the related com-

plex [Fe(C₅Me₅)(CO){ $\kappa^2(C,Cl)$ -C(OMe)C₆H₄-o-Cl}]-[OTf] (5), for which the X-ray crystal structure has been established [5]. The phosphine ligand appears in this context to have the same electronic effect as the permethylation of the C₅ ring.

The structure of complex **2** has been unequivocally established by an X-ray crystal structure analysis (Fig. 1). Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1. The data show the classical pseudo-octahedral geometry observed for three-legged piano-stool complexes. There are three independent molecules per unit cell. The Fe–C α distances [1.859(6), 1.879(5), 1.870(5) Å] compare well with those reported for other iron–carbene complexes (complex **5** – 1.857(6) Å) [5,8]. The

Cα–O bond distances [1.299(7), 1.303(6), 1.299(7) Å] are typical for alkoxycarbene complexes [5,8]. The Fe–O bonds [2.045(5), 2.043(4), 2.043(4) Å)] are similar to those found for other oxygen-containing iron complexes [9]; for instance the Fe–O bond distances of $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(dppe)(H_2O)]^+$ [9a] and $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(dppe)-(OCMe_2)]^+$ [9b] are 2.063(6) and 2.031(4) Å, respectively.

2.2. Reactivity towards alkoxides

The reactivity of these complexes towards alkoxides depends on the nature of the *ortho*-substituent X. Complex **5** reacts with an excess of RONa (R = Me, Et) to give in good yield (83–89%) the new chelate complexes $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)\{\kappa^2(C,O)-C_6H_4-o-C(OMe)(OR)(OR)\}]$ (7, R = Me; **8**, R = Et), which are isolated as dark brown crystals (Scheme 2).

The ¹H-NMR spectrum (C₆D₆, 25°C) of 7 exhibits three broad signals for the methoxy groups at δ 3.02, 2.88 and 2.73. Three different signals (δ 56.3, 52.8 and 52.5), assigned to the magnetically non-equivalent OMe groups, are also observed in the ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum. One methoxy is coordinated to the iron centre, and the non-coordinated groups are diastereotopic. Variable-temperature NMR studies show that the signals coalesce at 42°C (300 MHz) and give one signal at δ 2.90 at 75°C; the exchange of the OMe groups is therefore rapid on the NMR timescale.



Scheme 3.

A similar phenomenon is observed in bimetallic systems bridged by Si(OSi(OMe)₃)₃ [10]. Concerning derivative **8**, the two ethoxy groups are well-differentiated in the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra. Moreover, the methylene protons of one EtO substituent are diastereotopic (δ 3.00, 2.78; ²J_{H-H} = 10 Hz); this could be attributed to the coordination of this group to the stereogenic iron centre.

Similarly, complex 9 is formed upon treatment of 3 with EtONa–EtOH; the methoxy group has been exchanged by an ethoxy substituent, a feature also observed in the case of 6 (vide infra). The ¹H-NMR (toluene- d_8 , -20° C) spectrum shows two triplets at δ 1.01 (6H) and 0.82 (3H) attributed to the methyl protons of the ethoxy groups, whereas all the methylene protons are magnetically non-equivalent (see Section 3). The ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum displays two signals for the methylene carbon at δ 63.0 and 59.9.

The formation of 7-9 involves the cleavage of the Ar-Cl bond, which could be promoted by the coordination of the chlorine atom or the presence of the potential vacant coordination site. In contrast, the Ar-Cl bond cleavage does not occur for the dicarbonyl car- $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2 \{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o$ bene complex Cl}][OTf]. Here, the reaction with EtO⁻ affords the ketal complex $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2 \{C(OEt)(OMe)(C_6H_4-o-$ Cl}] (11), the formation of which results from addition at the electrophilic carbene centre (Scheme 3). Complex 11 is stable in the solid state as a yellow powder at -30° C, but undergoes thermal decomposition in solution above 0°C, even in an aprotic medium [11]. The spectral properties of 11, recorded at -30° C, are in agreement with the proposed structure. The ¹H- and ¹³C{¹H}-NMR spectra show two sets of signals, probably due to the presence of two geometric isomers. The rotation about the C_{α} - C_{Ar} bond would be hindered by the presence of the *ortho*-substituent on the C_6 ring. For instance, in the ¹H-NMR spectrum, the OCH₃ resonances are observed at δ 3.31 and 2.98 as singlets, and the resonances of the OCH₂CH₃ group appear at δ 3.55, 3.40 (CH₂), and δ 3.25, 3.05 (CH₃). In the $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ -NMR spectrum, the C α resonances are located at δ 116.5 and 114.9. These chemical shifts agree well with that observed for related ketal complexes [11]. However, we were unable to characterise the expected bis(methoxy) adduct $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2 \{C(OMe)_2(C_6H_4 - C_6Me_5)(CO)_2\}$ o-Cl}] (10), which is generated similarly by using sodium methoxide. Therefore, this intermediate has been chemically trapped as a carbene derivative. Addition of HBF_4 -OEt₂ (-80°C) to a solution of 10 quantitatively regenerates the starting carbene complex $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2\{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}]^+$ as a $[BF_4]^$ salt (Scheme 3). The spectral data of this latter species clearly show that the Ar–Cl bond is intact.

Although the formation of an *ortho*-ester group from carbene species has already been reported [12], such a



Scheme 4.

rearrangement process is without precedent. Nucleophilic attacks of Lewis bases at the halocarbon atom have been described previously [13]. However, such a process could not account for the formation of the observed products. Other examples of aryl-halide activation have been previously described by Green et al. [14]. For instance, the cleavage of the Ar-F bond of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ by the iron complex $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)_2Me]$ is proposed to involve a Fe-F interaction. We suggest that, in the first step, a $\kappa^2(C,Cl)$ ketal derivative is formed by the addition of an alkoxide group at the $C\alpha$. This chelated species could be in equilibrium with the coordinatively unsaturated species, due to the facile decoordination of the chloro ligand (Schemes 4 and 5). If one considers this equilibrium, two scenarios are possible to explain the above results. The first would involve an initial cleavage of the C-Cl bond, as previously proposed by Green et al. [14]. The ketal derivative would rearrange into a benzyne complex. A nucleophilic addition of RO- on the bis(alkoxy)carbene ligand affords the ortho-ester group (Scheme 4). Finally, the insertion of the benzyne ligand into the metal-carbon bond gives the observed compound. Alternatively, the presence of a potential vacant coordination site would allow the formation of a π -benzyl intermediate [15], since the benzyne intermediate is speculative (Scheme 5). The π -benzyl species would then rearrange into the σ -benzyl derivative. A nucle-ophilic attack of the alkoxide anion, followed by elimination of the chloride anion, and the migration of the alkoxy group to the exocyclic double bond affords the observed product. Both mechanisms could also be operative for 3, since the CH₃CN ligand is labile. The exchange of the alkoxy group in the presence of an alkoxide has already been observed for carbene complexes [16]. However, our results do not allow a distinction between these two pathways.

In the present case, the initial substitution of the halide group by the alkoxide is ruled out, as indicated by the reactivity of the related anisyl derivative $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O)-C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-OMe\}][OTf]$





Scheme 6.

(6). Since methoxy groups are already present in the molecule, the ethoxide anion was used. Addition of EtONa (three equivalents) to a THF suspension of 6 (-80°C) gives, after work-up, a 93% yield of the bis(ethoxy)carbene complex [Fe(C₅Me₅)(CO)(C₆H₄-o-OMe){=C(OEt)₂}] (12) (Scheme 6). The structure of 12 has been established spectroscopically and the ¹³C-NMR (CD₂Cl₂) spectrum exhibits the carbene carbon resonance characteristically downfield at δ 263.8.

Examples of selective activation of the Ar-OMe bond have been reported previously by Milstein and co-workers [17] by using Rh(I) complexes. However, the Lewis acid-base interaction in 6 appears not to weaken the Ar-OMe bond to the same extent as the Ar-Cl bond in the chloroaryl derivative 5. Therefore, a different rearrangement process takes place. We assume that the mechanism involves the following steps: (i) formation of the ketal intermediate $[\dot{F}e(C_5Me_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O) - C(OEt)_2(C_6H_4 - o - OMe)\}];$ and (ii) spontaneous de-insertion/migration of the anisyl substituent to the metal, promoted by the presence of a labile ligand. This final step corresponds to the reverse pathway of insertion/migration of an alkyl or aryl group to a carbene ligand (Scheme 6) [18]. When an alkyl or aryl migrates to a carbene fragment, the intramolecular C-C coupling reaction affords a coordinatively unsaturated complex, which usually rearranges via β-hydrogen elimination or is captured by an incoming ligand. The reverse process, i.e. migration of alkyl or aryl groups to give a carbene complex, is less common and requires the presence of a vacant coordination site [19]. The thermal instability of these ketal complexes might well provide the driving force for these clean rearrangement processes.

The parent Cp complex **2** was treated under the same reaction conditions with an excess of EtONa–EtOH; however, no carbene complex was formed. The only product that has been characterised is the ethyl ester derivative $MeOC_6H_4$ -o-(CO)OEt, but we were unable

to spectroscopically identify or isolate any organometallic species. Moreover, it is noteworthy that the methoxy group has been exchanged by an ethoxy one during the course of the reaction, as in the former case. We assume that the bis(ethyl) ketal intermediate $[\dot{\mathrm{Fe}}(\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})(\mathrm{CO})\{\kappa^{2}(C,O)-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}-o\cdot\mathrm{OMe}\}]$ is formed, but again this species is too unstable to be spectroscopically detected. In contrast, the ketal intermediate does not evolve into a carbene species; the lability of the chelate OMe group appears to depend on the nature of the ancillary ligands (C_5Me_5 vs. C_5H_5). Clean and quantitative formation of the free methyl ester derivative MeOC₆H₄-o-(CO)OMe is observed upon oxidation of complex 2 by iodosobenzene. The formation of a Re-formaldehyde complex from the corresponding methylene complex has already been reported [20,21].

In conclusion, this work demonstrates that the reaction pathways change dramatically, depending on the nature of the *ortho*-substituent and the electron-richness of the organometallic fragment. The approach that we have used — chelation assistance for a metal in order to facilitate bond activation — should be applicable more widely for selective organometallic processes.

3. Experimental

All manipulations were carried out under an Ar atmosphere with Schlenk techniques. Solvents dried and distilled under nitrogen before were methods. use by standard The compounds $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2\{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-X\}][OTf]$ and $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,X) - C(OMe)C_6H_4 - o - X\}][OTf]$ (5, X = Cl; 6, X = OMe) were prepared according to the literature procedure [5]. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DPX-200 and Bruker AC 300 (by S. Sinbandhit, CRMPO, Université de Rennes 1) spectrometers. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm using SiMe₄ as the external standard (1H, 13C). Infrared spectra were obtained with a Bruker IFS28 FTIR spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Varian MAT 311 (70 eV) instrument and FABMS on a Micromass ZABSpec TOF spectrometer at the CRMPO. Microanalyses were performed by the 'Centre de Microanalyse du CNRS' at Vernaison. France.

3.1. $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)_2\{C(O)C_6H_4-o-X\}]$ (X = Cl, OMe), general procedure

A suspension of 3 mmol (1 g) of $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)_2]_2$ and 7 mmol (210 mg) of potassium in 20 ml of THF was refluxed for 2 h. To the resulting orange mixture were added, at room temperature (r.t.), 7 mmol of the appropriate acid chloride X-o-C₆H₄C(O)Cl. The solution was stirred for 30 min, and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with ether $(3 \times 20 \text{ ml})$ and chromatography on alumina (eluent, pentane–CH₂Cl₂ 4:1) afforded a yellow crystalline solid.

[Fe(C₅H₅)(CO)₂{C(O)C₆H₄-*o*-Cl}] (80% yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.38-7.16$ (m, 3H, Ar), 6.92 (dd, ³*J*(H–H) = 7.3 Hz, ⁴*J*(H–H) = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.01 (s, 5H, C₅H₅). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 256.8$ (C=O), 213.8 (CO), 154.3 (Ar_{*ipso*}), 130.2 (Ar), 128.6 (Ar), 127.3 (Ar), 124.4 (Ar_{Cl}), 122.7 (Ar), 87.6 (C₅H₅). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1625 (s, *v*C=O), 1970 (s, *v*CO), 2025 (s, *v*CO). Anal. Found: C, 53.32; H, 3.07. Calc. for C₁₄H₉O₃FeCl: C, 53.13; H, 2.87%.

[Fe(C₅H₅)(CO)₂{C(O)C₆H₄-*o*-OMe}] (80% yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.25 (td, ³*J*(H–H) = 7.8 Hz, ⁴*J*(H–H) = 1.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.00–6.80 (m, 3H, Ar), 4.95 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 3.87 (s, 3H, OMe). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR: (CDCl₃) δ = 258.1 (C=O), 214.4 (CO), 151.6 (Ar_{*ipso*}), 146.4 (Ar_{OMe}), 129.0 (Ar), 122.0 (Ar), 120.8 (Ar), 111.7 (Ar), 87.2 (C₅H₅), 55.7 (OMe). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1618 (s, *v*C=O), 1966 (s, *v*CO), 2022 (s, *v*CO). Anal. Found: C, 57.89; H, 3.85. Calc. for C₁₅H₁₂O₄Fe: C, 57.73; H, 3.88%.

3.2. $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)_2\{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-X\}][CF_3SO_3]$ (1, *a* X = Cl, *b* X = OMe), general procedure

A CH₂Cl₂ solution (15 ml) of 1.1 mmol of $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)_2\{C(O)C_6H_4-o-X\}]$ (X = Cl, OMe) was treated with 1.43 mmol (0.16 ml) of CH₃OSO₂CF₃. The mixture was stirred overnight and the solution was then concentrated under vacuum to ca. 3-5 ml. Compound 1 was washed with diethylether $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$. 1a (yellow powder, 65%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.63 - 7.14$ (m, 3H, Ar), 6.91 (dm, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.46 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.38 (s, 3H, OMe). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 327.5$ (=C), 208.1 (CO), 207.5 (CO), 147.4 (Ar), 132.6 (Ar), 130.5 (Ar), 128.9 (Ar), 123.9 (Ar) 122.9 (Ar_{Cl}), 91.0 (C₅H₅), 70.7 (OMe). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2029 (s, vCO), 2074 (s, vCO). Anal. Found: C, 39.89; H, 2.49. Calc. for C₁₆H₁₂O₆F₃SFeCl: C, 39.99; H, 2.52%. 1b (brown oil, 63%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.52$ (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 8.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.28 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.05 (d, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 8.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.46 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.43 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.95 (s, 3H, OMe_{Ar}). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2028 (s, vCO), 2071 (s, vCO). HRMS; m/z: Found 327.0318 [M⁺]. Calc. for C₁₆H₁₅O₄Fe: 327.0320 [M⁺].

3.3. [$\dot{F}e(C_5H_5)(CO)\{\kappa^2(C,O)-C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-\dot{O}Me\}$]-[CF₃SO₃] (2)

A CH₂Cl₂ solution of 0.7 mmol (330 mg) of **1b** was irradiated (visible light) overnight. The yellow solution became red. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was washed with ether (2×10 ml), affording a

red powder. Crystallisation in CH₂Cl₂–EtO₂ at -20° C gave red microcrystals (219 mg, 71%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.89$ (d, ³*J*(H–H) = 8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.69 (t, ³*J*(H–H) = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.22 (m, 2H, Ar), 5.27 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.78 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.27 (s, 3H, OMe_{Ar}). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 316.8$ (=C), 212.2 (CO), 168.9 (Ar_{OMe}), 137.9 (Ar), 133.8 (Ar), 124.3 (Ar_{*ipso*}), 120.7 (Ar), 113.3 (Ar), 84.4 (C₅H₅), 70.9 (OMe), 70.7 (OMe). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2004 (s, *v*CO). Anal. Found: C, 42.81; H, 3.40. Calc. for C₁₆H₁₅O₆FeSF₃: C, 42.88; H, 3.37%.

3.4. Crystal structure analysis of 2

 $C_{15}H_{15}O_{3}Fe, CF_{3}SO_{3}$, M 448.19 g mol⁻¹, colour red, crystal size $0.42 \times 0.23 \times 0.20$ mm, crystal system monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 13.4766(2), b =c = 16.8954(3)Å, 23.4767(3), $\beta = 94.304(1)^{\circ}$, V = 5330.4(1) Å⁻³, Z = 12, $\rho = 1.675$ g cm⁻³, T = 110K, $\mu = 10.28$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 2736. The sample was studied on a Nonius Kappa CCD with graphite monochromatised Mo-K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å. The data collection $(2\theta_{\text{max}} = 60^{\circ})$ gave 63 738 integrated reflections (hkl: -0.17, 0.30, -22.22). The data reduction with Denzo and Scalepack [22] led to 12672 independent reflections (10157 with $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$). The structure was solved with SIR-97 [23], which reveals all the non-hydrogen atoms of the three complexes of the asymmetric unit. After anisotropic refinement, the three anions appeared disordered. The whole structure was refined with SHELXL97 [24] by the full-matrix leastsquares techniques (use of F^2 magnitude; x, y, z, β_{ij} for Fe, C and O atoms, and riding mode for H atoms; isotropic mode for the anions; 683 variables and 10157 observations with $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$. $w_{calc} = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) +$ $(0.094P)^2 + 29.9P$] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$, with the resulting R = 0.088, $R_w = 0.232$ and $S_w = 1.12$ (residual $\Delta \rho < 1.6 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$).

3.5. $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)(CH_3CN){C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl}] [CF_3SO_3]$ (3)

A CH₃CN solution of 0.62 mmol (308 mg) of **1a** was irradiated (visible light) overnight. The yellow solution became orange. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was washed with diethylether (2 × 10 ml) to afford a red oil (228 mg, 74%). ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN): $\delta = 7.53$ (m, 3H, Ar), 7.18 (m, 1H, Ar), 5.06 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.37 (s, 3H, OMe). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta =$ (=C not observed due to coalescence), 214.9 (CO), 147.6 (Ar_{ipso}), 134.6 (CN), 131.0 (Ar), 129.6 (Ar), 128.2 (Ar), 124.4 (Ar), 122.9 (Ar_{Cl}), 88.8 (C₅H₅), 67.4 (OMe), 5.2 (CH₃CN). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 2019 (s, vCO). HRMS; *m*/*z*: Found: 344.0148 [M⁺]. Calc. for C₁₆H₁₅O₂FeCI: 344.0141 [M⁺]. 3.6. $[Fe(C_5H_5)(PPh_3) \{\kappa^2(C,Cl) - C(OMe)C_6H_4 - o - Cl\}] - [CF_3SO_3]$ (4)

To a solution of 4 mmol (1.92 g) of **1a** in CH₃CN (200 ml) was added 5 mmol (1.3 g) of PPh₃. The solution was photolysed under UV for 16 h. The solvent was evaporated, and crystallisation of the residue in a CH₂Cl₂-ether mixture provided 2.7 g (90%) of a yellow-green powder. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.11-7.12$ (m, Ar/Ph) 4.92 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.45 (s, 3H, OMe). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 319.15$ (d, ²*J*(P-C) = 23 Hz, =C), 146.0 (Ar_{*ipso*}), 143.5 (Ar_{Cl}), 135.3-121.7 (Ar/Ph), 80.9 (C₅H₅), 67.8 (OMe). ³¹P{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃, H₃PO₄ ext): $\delta = 57.86$ (PPh₃). HRMS; *m/z*: Found: 537.0835 [M⁺]. Calc. for C₃₁H₂₇OFePCl: 537.0838 [M⁺].

3.7. [Fe(C₅Me₅)(CO){ $\kappa^2(C,O)$ -C₆H₄-o-C(OMe)(OR)-(OR)}] (7, R = Me; 8, R = Et)

A suspension of 1.5 mmol (785 mg) in 25 ml of THF of 5 was treated at -80° C with a freshly prepared ROH (R = Me, Et) solution of RONa (three equivalents). After stirring for 1 h, the solution was warmed up to r.t. and evaporated to dryness. The residue was extracted with pentane and crystallisation at -20° C gave dark brown crystals. 7 (539 mg, 89%, dark brown crystals): ¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 7.84$ (dd, ³J(H–H) = 7.5 Hz, ${}^{4}J(H-H) = 0.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.35 (td, ${}^{3}J(H-H) =$ 7.4 Hz, ${}^{4}J(H-H) = 1.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.10 (td, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7.4$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H-H) = 1$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.99 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J(H-H) = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H, \text{ Ar}), 3.02 \text{ (br}$ s, 3H, OMe), 2.88 (br s, 3H, OMe), 2.73 (br s, 3H, OMe), 1.42 (s, 15H, C_5Me_5). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 221.7$ (CO), 174.6 (Fe–Ar), 140.2 (Ar), 136.5 (Ar_c), 127.9 (Ar), 126.8 (C(OMe)₃), 123.9 (Ar), 121.9 (Ar), 89.6 (C₅Me₅), 56.3 (OMe), 52.7 (OMe), 52.5 (OMe), 10.8 (C_5Me_5). IR (pentane): 1917 (s, vCO). Anal. Found: C, 62.78; H, 7.12. Calc. for C₂₁H₂₈O₄Fe: C, 63.01; H, 7.05%. 8 (530 mg, 83%, dark brown crystals). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₂): $\delta = 7.57$ (d, ³J(H–H) = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.17 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 6.7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.96 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.84 (d, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 3.31 (q, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.15 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.00 (m, 1H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.79 (m, 1H, OCH₂CH₃), 1.60 (s, 15H, C₅Me₅), 1.14 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.98 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 221.4 (CO), 173.5 (Fe-Ar), 139.7 (Ar), 137.4 (Ar_c), 127.4 (Ar), 125.8 (C(OMe)(OEt)₂), 123.4 (Ar), 121.5 89.2 $(C_{5}Me_{5}),$ 60.2 $(OCH_2CH_3),$ 59.8 (Ar), (OCH₂CH₃), 55.8 (OMe), 15.3 (OCH₂CH₃), 14.8 (OCH_2CH_3) , 10.1 (C_5Me_5) . IR (pentane): 1914 (s, vCO). HRMS; m/z: Found 428.1641 [M⁺]. Calc. for C₂₃H₃₂O₄Fe: 428.1649 [M⁺]. Anal. Found: C, 64.82; H, 7.47. Calc. for C₂₃H₃₂O₄Fe: C, 64.49; H, 7.53%.

3.8. [Fe(C₅H₅)(CO){ $\kappa^2(C,O)$ -C₆H₄-o-C(OEt)(OEt)₂}] (9)

A THF suspension of 1 mmol (490 mg) of 3 was treated at -80° C with a freshly prepared EtOH solution of EtONa (4 mmol). After stirring for 1 h, the solution was warmed up to -30° C and evaporated to dryness. The brown residue was extracted with pentane $(2 \times 15 \text{ ml})$, and evaporation to dryness gave a brown oil (310 mg, 83%). ¹H–NMR (toluene- d_8 , –20°C): $\delta = 7.62$ (d, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.20 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.04 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.09 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 3.75, 3.32, 3.12, 2.88, 2.82, 2.45 (6 × m, 1H, OCH_2CH_3), 1.01 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 6H, OCH_2CH_3), 0.82 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 3H, OCH₂CH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ -NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 222.0$ (CO), 165.9 (Fe–Ar), 143.5 (Ar), 139.7 (Ar_c), 127.4 (Ar), 124.3 (Ar), 122.6 (Ar), 114.3 (C(OEt)₃), 80.9 (C₅H₅), 63.0 (OCH₂CH₃), 59.9 (OCH₂CH₃), 15.2 (OCH₂CH₃). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1925 (s, vCO). HRMS; m/z: Found: 372.1014 [M⁺]. Calc. for C₁₉H₂₄O₄Fe: 372.1024 [M⁺].

3.9. Formation of $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2\{C(OMe)-(C_6H_4-o-Cl)\}][BF_4]$ via $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2\{C(OMe)_2-(C_6H_4-o-Cl)\}]$ (10)

A THF suspension of 1 mmol (551 mg) of $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl}][OTf]$ was treated at -80° C with a freshly prepared MeOH solution of MeONa (3 mmol). After stirring for 1 h at -80° C, the solution was evaporated to dryness. The yellow residue was extracted with pentane $(2 \times 15 \text{ ml})$ at -80° C. Addition of 1 mmol of HBF₄–OEt₂ (150 µl, 85% in Et₂O) to the resulting solution caused precipitation of a yellow powder (400 mg, 81%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.59 - 7.42$ (m, 3H, Ar), 7.28 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.49 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.00 (s, 15H, C₅Me₅). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 210.8$ (CO), 147.6 (Ar_{ipso}), 132.0 (Ar), 130.4 (Ar), 128.8 (Ar), 123.2 (Ar_{Cl}) , 122.5 (Ar), 103.9 (C_5Me_5), 70.7 (OMe), 9.8 (C_5Me_5) . IR (Nujol): 2052 (s, vCO), 2008 (s, vCO), 1054 (s, vBF_4). HRMS; m/z: Found: 401.0628 [M⁺]. Calc. for C₂₀H₂₂O₃FeCl: 401.0607 [M⁺].

3.10. $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)_2\{C(OEt)(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}]$ (11)

A suspension of 1 mmol (551 mg) of $[Fe(C_5Me_5)-(CO)_2\{C(OMe)C_6H_4-o-Cl\}][OTf]$ in 20 ml of THF was treated at -80° C with a freshly prepared EtOH solution of EtONa (three equivalents). After stirring for 1 h, the solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue was extracted at -20° C with pentane (3 × 15 ml), and evaporation of the solvent gave a yellow powder (390 mg, 93%). The solid decomposes at r.t. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, -30° C): $\delta = 7.58$, 7.47, 7.17, 6.98 (m, Ar), 3.55, 3.40 (br m, OCH₂CH₃), 3.31 (s, OMe), 3.25, 3.05

(br m, OCH₂CH₃), 2.98 (s, OMe), 1.73, 1.64 (s, C₅Me₅), 1.35, 1.17 (br m, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃, - 30°C): $\delta = 219.1$, 218.8, 218.2 (CO), 149.6, 147.9 (Ar_c), 131.3, 130.9 (Ar), 129.8, 129.2 (Ar_{cl}), 128.0, 126.9, 126.5, 125.9, 125.6 (Ar), 116.5, 114.9 (C_α), 97.9, 96.6 (C_5 Me₅), 58.9, 57.1 (OCH₂CH₃), 51.5, 50.8 (OMe), 15.5, 15.0 (OCH₂CH₃), 10.0, 9.8 (C₅Me₅). IR (pentane): 1997 (s, vCO), 1947 (s, vCO). Two isomers were observed at - 30°C, resulting from a hindered C^{*}_α-Ar rotation at the low temperature. However, decomposition at 0°C prevents the observation of any coalescence of the signals.

3.11. $[Fe(C_5Me_5)(CO)(C_6H_4-o-OMe)] = C(OEt)_2]$ (12)

A suspension of 0.7 mmol (363 mg) of 6 in 15 ml of THF was treated at -80° C with a freshly prepared EtOH solution of EtONa (three equivalents). After stirring for 2 h, the solution was warmed up to r.t. and evaporated to dryness. The residue was extracted with pentane and crystallisation at -20° C gave yellow crystals (285 mg, 95%). ¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 7.75$ (d, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 6.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.11 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.96 (t, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.59 (d, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 6.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.05 (br m, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.92 (br m, 2H, OCH₂CH₃), 3.48 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.61 (s, 15H, C₅Me₅), 0.92 (br m, 6H, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 263.8$ (=C), = 226.6 (CO), 166.7 (Fe-Ar), 154.3 (Ar_{OMe}), 143.9 (Ar), 122.5 (Ar), 119.8 (Ar), 108.2 (Ar), 95.5 (C₅Me₅), 66.7 (br s, OCH₂CH₃), 55.1 (OMe), 14.6 (OCH₂CH₃), 9.7 (C₅ Me_5). IR (pentane): 1936 (s, vCO). HRMS; m/z: Found: 383.1314 [M⁺ – OEt], 355.1355 [M⁺ – OEt – CO]. Calc. for $C_{21}H_{27}O_3Fe$: 383.1310 [M⁺ – OEt], 355.1361 [M⁺ – OEt – CO]. Anal. Found: C, 64.82; H, 7.47. Calc. for C₂₃H₃₂O₄Fe: C, 64.49; H, 7.53%.

3.12. Reaction of

$[\dot{F}e(C_5H_5)(CO) \{\kappa^2(C,O) - C(OMe)(C_6H_4 - o - \dot{O}Me)\}][OTf]$ (2) with EtONa

A suspension of 0.7 mmol (330 mg) of **2** in 25 ml of THF was treated at -80° C with a freshly prepared EtOH solution of EtONa (three equivalents). After stirring overnight, extraction with pentane gave as the only identified product the ethyl ester derivative *o*-(OMe)-C₆H₄-C(O)OEt. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.79$ (dd, 1H, ³*J*(H-H) = 7.8 Hz, ⁴*J*(H-H) = 1.3 Hz, Ar), 7.46 (td, 1H, ³*J*(H-H) = 7 Hz, ⁴*J*(H-H) = 1.2 Hz, Ar), 7.00 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.36 (d, 2H, ³*J*(H-H) = 7 Hz, OCH₂), 3.91 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.38 (t, 3H, ³*J*(H-H) = 7 Hz, OCH₂), 1³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 166.2$ (C=O), 159.1 (Ar_{OMe}), 133.3 (Ar), 131.5 (Ar), 120.5 (Ar_{*ipso*}), 120.1 (Ar), 112.1 (Ar), 60.8 (CH₂CH₃), 56.0 (OMe), 14.3 (CH₂CH₃). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1720 (s, *v*C=O).

3.13. Preparation of $[o-(OMe)-C_6H_4-C(O)OMe]$ from $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO){\kappa^2(C,O)-C(OMe)(C_6H_4-o-OMe)}]$ - $[CF_3SO_3]$ (2) with $C_6H_5I^+-O^-$

To a solution of 1.4 mmol (630 mg) in 15 ml of CH₂Cl₂ was added at r.t., 1.7 mmol (370 mg) of C₆H₅IO. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. After evaporation to dryness, the residue was extracted with pentane. Evaporation gave a brown oil (100 mg, 43% yield). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.85$ (d, ³*J*(H–H) = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.53 (t, ³*J*(H–H) = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.04 (m, 2H, Ar), 3.96 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.95 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 167.2$ (C=O), 159.6 (Ar_{OMe}), 134.0 (Ar), 132.1 (Ar), 120.6 (Ar), 120.4 (Ar_{CO}), 112.4 (Ar), 56.4 (OMe_{Ar}), 52.5 (OMe). IR (CH₂Cl₂): 1725 (s, *v*C=O).

4. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structural analysis have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC no. 155024 for compound **2**. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http:// www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Matts Tilset for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] W.D. Wulff, Organometallics 17 (1998) 3116 (and references therein).
- [2] (a) M. Brookhart, W.B. Studabaker, Chem. Rev. 87 (1987) 411;
 (b) A.R. Cutler, P.K. Hanna, J.C. Vites, Chem. Rev. 88 (1988) 1363;
 - (c) V. Guerchais, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 131 (1994) 803;
 - (d) C.P. Casey, W.H. Miles, J. Organomet. Chem. 254 (1983) 333.
- [3] A. Davison, D.L. Reger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94 (1972) 9237.
- [4] A.R. Cutler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101 (1979) 604.
- [5] G. Poignant, S. Nlate, V. Guerchais, A.J. Edwards, P.R. Raithby, Organometallics 16 (1997) 124.
- [6] (a) G. Poignant, S. Sinbandhit, L. Toupet, V. Guerchais, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 37 (1998) 963;
 (b) C. Schulz, M. Tohier, S. Sinbandhit, V. Guerchais, Inorg. Chim. Acta 291 (1999) 449.
- [7] M. Brookhart, W.B. Studabaker, M.B. Humphrey, Organometallics 8 (1989) 132.
- [8] (a) P.E. Riley, R.E. Davies, N.T. Allison, W.M. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102 (1980) 2458;
 (b) P.E. Riley, R.E. Davies, N.T. Allison, W.M. Jones, Inorg. Chem. 21 (1982) 1321;

(c) A.M. Crespi, D.F. Shriver, Organometallics 4 (1985) 1830;
(d) C. Knors, G.-H. Kuo, J.W. Lauher, C. Eigenbrot, P. Helquist, Organometallics 6 (1987) 988;
(e) H. Adams, N.A. Bailey, C. Ridgway, B.F. Taylor, S.J. Walters, M.J. Winter, J. Organomet. Chem. 394 (1990) 349;
(f) H. Adams, C.A. Maloney, J.E. Muir, S.J. Walters, M.J. Winter, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1995) 1511;
(g) V. Mahias, S. Cron, L. Toupet, C. Lapinte, Organometallics 15 (1996) 5399.
[9] (a) P. Hamon, L. Toupet, J.-R. Hamon, C. Lapinte,

Organometallics 15 (1996) 10;
(b) P. Hamon, L. Toupet, J.-R. Hamon, C. Lapinte, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1994) 931;
(c) M.B. Humphrey, W.M. Lammana, M. Brookhart, G.R. Husk, Inorg. Chem. 22 (1983) 3355;
(d) M. Akita, M. Terada, M. Tanaka, Y. Morooka, J. Organomet. Chem. 510 (1996) 255.

- [10] M. Knorr, P. Braunstein, A. Tiripicchio, F. Ugozzoli, Organometallics 14 (1995) 4910.
- [11] C.P. Casey, H. Tukada, W.H. Miles, Organometallics 1 (1982) 1083.
- [12] M.A. Estuerelas, A.V. Gomez, A.M. Lopez, M.C. Puerta, P. Valerga, Organometallics 17 (1998) 4959.
- [13] (a) R.J. Kulawiec, R.H. Crabtree, Coord. Chem. Rev. 99 (1990)
 89 (and references therein);

(b) M.D. Butts, B.L. Scott, G.J. Kubas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 11831;

(c) T.-S. Peng, C.H. Winter, J.A. Gladysz, Inorg. Chem. 33 (1994) 2534.

[14] (a) M.L.H. Green, J. Haggitt, C.P. Mehnert, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1995) 1853;

(b) A. N Chernega, A.J. Graham, M.L.H. Green, J. Hagitt, J.

Lloyd, C.P. Mehnert J. Souter, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1997) 2293.

- [15] The authors thank one referee for suggesting this mechanism.
- [16] (a) C.P. Casey, A.J. Shusterman, Organometallics 4 (1985) 736;
 (b) U. Schubert, E.O. Fischer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. (1975) 393.
- [17] (a) M.E. van der Boom, S.-Y. Liou, Y. Ben-David, A. Vigalok, D. Milstein, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36 (1997) 625;
 (b) M.E. van der Boom, S.-Y. Liou, Y. Ben-David, L.J.W. Shimon, D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120 (1998) 6531.
- [18] (a) T. Braun, O. Gevert, H. Werner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 7291;
 (b) J. Yang, W.M. Jones, J.K. Dixon, N.T. Allison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 9776.
- [19] (a) Y. Stenstrøm, W.M Jones, Organometallics 5 (1986) 178;
 (b) J. Yang, J. Yin, K.A. Abboud, W.M. Jones, Organometallics 13 (1994) 971.
- [20] W.E. Burho, A.T. Patton, C.E. Strouse, J.A. Gladyzs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 1056.
- [21] Catalytic oxidation of oxacarbene into γ-butyrolactones has been reported. See:B.M. Trost, Y.H. Rhee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 11 680.
- [22] Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode, in: C.W. Carter, R.M. Sweet (Eds.), Methods in Enzymology, Macromolecular Crystallography, vol. 276, Academic Press, London, 1997, p. 307 (Part A).
- [23] A. Altomare, M.C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, A.G.G. Moliterni, G. Polidori, R. Spagna, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 31 (1998) 74.
- [24] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL97, 1997. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany.